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## Preliminaries

## Toric degenerations

A toric degeneration of a variety $X$ is a flat family $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ such that:

- the fiber $\mathcal{F}_{t}$ over $t \in \mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}$ is isomorphic to $X$;
- the fiber $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ over 0 is a toric variety.

- Toric degenerations have been studied in algebraic geometry, representation theory, cluster algebra, and tropical geometry.
- The geometric invariants of $X$ can be read from any fiber in the degeneration, in particular from the toric fiber.


## Grassmannian and flag varieties

- The Grassmannian $\operatorname{Gr}(k, n)$ is the variety of $k$-dimensional linear subspaces in $\mathbb{K}^{n}$.
- The flag variety $\mathcal{F} \ell_{n}$ is the variety of flags $V_{0} \subsetneq V_{1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq V_{n}$, where $V_{k} \in \operatorname{Gr}(k, n)$. The flag variety naturally lives in a product of Grassmannians:

$$
\mathcal{F} \ell_{n} \subseteq \operatorname{Gr}(1, n) \times \operatorname{Gr}(2, n) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Gr}(n-1, n)
$$

- The partial flag variety $\mathcal{F} \ell_{n}(\mathcal{I})$, with [ $n$ ] $\supset \mathcal{I}=\left\{i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{k}\right\}$, is the variety of flags $V_{i_{1}} \subsetneq V_{i_{2}} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq V_{i_{q}}$, where $V_{i_{j}} \in \operatorname{Gr}\left(i_{j}, n\right)$. The partial flag variety lives in a product of Grassmannian:

$$
\mathcal{F} \ell_{n}(I) \subseteq \operatorname{Gr}\left(i_{1}, n\right) \times \operatorname{Gr}\left(i_{2}, n\right) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Gr}\left(i_{k}, n\right)
$$

## Plücker variables

- $\operatorname{Gr}(k, n)$ can be embedded in a projective space via the Plücker coordinates:

$$
\operatorname{Gr}(k, n) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\binom{n}{k}-1
$$

where coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1}$ are labeled by $k$-subsets of $[n]$.

$$
p_{I}=\operatorname{det} X[I] \text { for } I \in\binom{[n]}{k}
$$

- $\mathcal{F} \ell_{n}(\mathcal{I})$ can be embedded into a product of projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{i_{1}}-1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{i_{k}}-1}$, where coordinates are labeled by subsets of $[n]$ :

$$
p_{I}=\operatorname{det} X[I] \text { for } I \subseteq[n],|I| \in \mathcal{I}
$$

## Toric degenerations from tropical geometry

## Gröbner degenerations

- A classical way is via Gröbner degenerations.
- Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be a homogeneous ideal. Given $w \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ we can define the ideal

$$
\operatorname{in}_{w}(I)=\left\langle\operatorname{in}_{w}(f) \mid f \in I\right\rangle
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{in}_{w}(f)=\sum_{\alpha \cdot w \text { minimal }} f_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}
$$

Example. Let
$f=p_{12} p_{34}-p_{13} p_{24}+p_{14} p_{23} \in \mathbb{C}\left[p_{12}, p_{13}, p_{14}, p_{23}, p_{24}, p_{34}\right]$. Then

- for $w=(1,0,0,0,0,1)$ we have $\operatorname{in}_{w}(f)=-p_{13} p_{24}+p_{14} p_{23}$;
- for $w=(1,1,1,2,3,4)$ we have $\mathrm{in}_{w}(f)=p_{14} p_{23}$.
- It is possible to generate a flat family of varieties over $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ such that the special fiber corresponds to the ideal $\mathrm{in}_{w}(I)$.
- If $\mathrm{in}_{w}(I)$ is a toric ideal, we have a toric degeneration.


## Gröbner fan

- The Gröbner fan of $I \subseteq \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ is a fan in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ where $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ lie in the same cone if and only if they give the same initial ideal.

- Not every point in the Gröbner fan gives a toric degeneration: a generic weight $w \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ give rise to a monomial ideal $\mathrm{in}_{w}(I)$.
- $\mathrm{in}_{w}(I)$ needs to be binomial and prime
$\square$
We restrict to the $w$ in the fan such that $\mathrm{in}_{w}(I)$ contains no monomial.


## Gröbner fan of $\operatorname{Gr}(2,4)$

Example. Consider $\operatorname{Gr}(2,4)=V\left(p_{12} p_{34}-p_{13} p_{24}+p_{14} p_{23}\right)$. The Gröbner fan consists of 7 cones:


Idea: restrict to $\left\{w \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \min \left\{\alpha \cdot w \mid f_{\alpha} \neq 0\right\}\right.$ is achieved at least twice $\}$.

## Tropicalization

This space is the tropicalization of $X=V(I)$ :

$$
\operatorname{trop}(X)=\bigcap_{f \in I}\left\{w \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \min \left\{\alpha \cdot w \mid f_{\alpha} \neq 0\right\} \text { is achieved at least twice }\right\}
$$

Example. For $\operatorname{Gr}(2,4)$ we get 3 top-dimensional cones. All of them give rise to toric degenerations of $\operatorname{Gr}(2,4)$.


## Tropicalization and toric degenerations

Moreover $\mathrm{in}_{w}(I)$ needs to be binomial and prime.
$\Downarrow$
We restrict to the cones giving prime initial ideals, which we call prime cones.


## Tropicalization of Grassmannian and flag varieties

Computing points in top-dimensional cones of the tropicalization of a variety is not trivial:

- $\operatorname{trop}(\operatorname{Gr}(3,6))$ is a 3-dimensional fan with 1005 maximal cones. They merge into 7 symmetry classes, 6 of which give non-isomorphic toric degenerations.
- $\operatorname{trop}(\operatorname{Gr}(3,7))$ is a 5 -dimensional fan with 252000 maximal cones. They merge into 125 cones modulo $S_{7}, 69$ of which give non-isomorphic toric degenerations.
- $\operatorname{trop}\left(\mathcal{F} \ell_{5}\right)$ has 69780 maximal cones, 536 modulo the action of $S_{5} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. 180 give toric degenerations.

Matching fields and
combinatorial mutations

## Matching fields

We want ways to generate points in the tropicalization of these varieties.
A matching field for $\operatorname{Gr}(k, n)$ is a map

$$
\Lambda:\binom{[n]}{k} \rightarrow S_{k}
$$

A matching field for $\mathcal{F} \ell_{n}(\mathcal{I})$ is a map

$$
\Lambda:\{I \subset[n]| | I \mid \in \mathcal{I}\} \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{k \in \mathcal{I}} S_{k}
$$

A matching field is coherent is there exists a matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1) \times n}$ such that for every $I \subset[n],|I|=k$

$$
\Lambda(I)=\operatorname{argmin}_{\sigma \in S_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} M_{i, \sigma(i)}
$$

and the minimum is attained at a unique $\sigma \in S_{k}$.

## Matching field weight and polytope

Fix a coherent matching field $\Lambda$ for $\mathcal{F} \ell_{n}(\mathcal{I})$. We associate:

- the weight vector $w_{\wedge}$

$$
w_{\wedge}=\left(\min _{\sigma \in S_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(M_{\wedge}\right)_{i, \sigma(i)}\right)_{I \subset[n],|I|=k \in \mathcal{I}}
$$

- If $\Lambda$ is a matching field for $\operatorname{Gr}(k, n)$, the polytope $P_{\Lambda}^{k}$ is

$$
P_{\Lambda}^{k}=\operatorname{conv}\left(E_{\sigma} \mid \sigma=\Lambda(I) \text { for some } I \in\binom{[n]}{k}\right)
$$

where $\left(E_{\sigma}\right)_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 \text { if } j=\sigma(i) \\ 0 \text { otherwise. }\end{array}\right.$

- For a partial flag variety $\mathcal{F} \ell_{n}^{\mathcal{I}}$ :

$$
P_{\Lambda}^{I}=P_{\Lambda}^{i_{1}}+\cdots+P_{\Lambda}^{i_{k}}
$$

- Proposition. The matching field polytope $P_{\wedge}$ is normal.


## A matching field polytope for $\operatorname{Gr}(2,4)$

Consider the matching field $\Lambda:\binom{[4]}{2} \rightarrow S_{2}$ defined by

$$
M_{\Lambda}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
4 & 2 & 3 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The weight vector is

$$
w_{\wedge}=(2,3,1,2,1,1)
$$

and the polytope is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{\wedge}=\operatorname{conv} & \left(\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\right. \\
& \left.\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\operatorname{in}_{w}\left(l_{2,4}\right)=\operatorname{in}_{w}\left(p_{12} p_{34}-p_{13} p_{24}+p_{14} p_{23}\right)=\left(p_{12} p_{34}+p_{14} p_{23}\right)
$$

## Matching fields and toric degenerations

- Not every matching field defines a toric degeneration: the ideal $\mathrm{in}_{w_{\wedge}}(I)$ might not be prime.
- There is a different way to construct a toric degeneration from a matching field. $\Lambda$ defines a monomial map

$$
\phi_{\Lambda}: \mathbb{C}\left[p_{l}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\left[x_{i j}\right]
$$

sending $p_{l}$ to the monomial of the determinant of $X_{l}$ corresponding to $\Lambda(I)$.

- $\operatorname{ker}\left(\phi_{\Lambda}\right)$ is a toric ideal, i.e. it is binomial and prime. It is possible to prove that

$$
\operatorname{in}_{w_{\Lambda}}(I) \subseteq \operatorname{ker}\left(\phi_{\Lambda}\right)
$$

- The toric variety defined by $\operatorname{ker}\left(\phi_{\wedge}\right)$ is

$$
\mathbb{C}\left[p_{I}\right] / \operatorname{ker}\left(\phi_{\Lambda}\right)=\mathbb{C}\left[\operatorname{Cone}\left(P_{\wedge}\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{m} \times \mathbb{Z}\right] .
$$

## Matching fields and toric degenerations

Theorem 1. Let $\Lambda$ be a matching field for $\mathcal{F} \ell_{n}(J)$. If $P_{\wedge}$ is combinatorial mutation equivalent to the Gelfand-Tsetlin polytope, then $\Lambda$ gives rise to a toric degeneration of $\mathcal{F} \ell_{n}(J)$.

Let $N$ be a lattice and $M=N^{*}$. Let $w \in M$ be a primitive vector and $F \subseteq w^{\perp} \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ a lattice polytope. The tropical map

$$
\varphi_{w, F}: M_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad x \mapsto x-u_{\min }(x) w
$$

$u_{\text {min }}=\min \{\langle x, f\rangle \mid f \in F\}$, is a combinatorial mutation of a lattice polytope $P \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ if $\varphi_{w, F}(P)$ is convex.


The Gelfand-Tsetlin polytope is the polytope $P_{G T}$ associated to the matching field $\Lambda_{G T}$ :

$$
\Lambda_{G T}: I \mapsto \mathrm{id}
$$

## Proof of theorem 1

Theorem 1. Let $\Lambda$ be a matching field for $\mathcal{F} \ell_{n}(J)$. If $P_{\wedge}$ is combinatorial mutation equivalent to the Gelfand-Tsetlin polytope, then $\Lambda$ gives rise to a toric degeneration of $\mathcal{F} \ell_{n}(J)$.

Idea of proof.

- Hilbert function of $\mathcal{F} \ell_{n}(J)=$ Hilbert function of $\mathbb{C}\left[P_{I}\right] / \operatorname{in}_{G T}(I)=$
$=$ Ehrhart polynomial of $P_{G T}=$ Ehrhart polynomial of $P_{\Lambda}=$
$=$ Hilbert function of $\mathbb{C}\left[P_{l}\right] / \operatorname{ker}\left(\phi_{\Lambda}\right)$.
- Hilbert function of $\mathcal{F} \ell_{n}(J)=$ Hilbert function of $\mathbb{C}\left[P_{l}\right] / \mathrm{in}_{w_{\Lambda}}(I)$. Then $\operatorname{in}_{w_{\Lambda}}(I)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\phi_{\Lambda}\right)$, in particular

$$
\operatorname{in}_{w_{\Lambda}}(I) \text { is toric. }
$$

## A family of matching fields

Goal. Define a large family of matching fields and prove that the corresponding polytopes are combinatorial mutation equivalent to the GT polytope.

Let $\sigma \in S_{n}$ and consider the matching field $\Lambda_{\sigma}$ associated to the matrix:

$$
M^{\sigma}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\sigma(1) & \sigma(2) & \cdots & \sigma(n) \\
N n & N(n-1) & \cdots & N \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
N^{k-2}(n-1) & N^{k-2}(n-1) & \ldots & N^{k-2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

for $N \geq n+1$.
Note that with this notation, the Gelfand-Tsetlin polytope is associated to the permutation $w_{0}=(n n-1 \ldots 21)$.

## Matching field polytopes are mutation equivalent

Theorem 2. If $\sigma \in S_{n}$ is a permutation that avoids the pattern 4123, 3124, 1423 and 1324 , then the polytope $P_{\sigma}^{J}$ associated to the matching field $\Lambda_{\sigma}$ for the partial flag variety $\mathcal{F} \ell_{n}(J)$, is combinatorial mutation equivalent to the Gelfand-Tsetlin polytope $P_{G T}=P_{w_{0}}$.

Idea of proof.

- We just need to prove it for Grassmannians.
- We construct combinatorial mutations

$$
P_{\sigma} \rightarrow P_{\sigma_{1}} \rightarrow P_{\sigma_{2}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_{\sigma_{m}}=P_{(n n-1 \ldots 1)}=P_{G T}
$$

where $\sigma_{i+1}=(\ell \ell+1) \sigma_{i}$.
Example. Consider $\sigma=(623541$ ). Then the combinatorial mutations from $P_{\sigma}$ to $P_{w_{0}}$ will follow the sequence:
$(623541) \rightarrow(624531) \rightarrow(634521) \rightarrow(635421) \rightarrow(645321) \rightarrow w_{0}$

## Example of combinatorial mutation

Consider $P_{\sigma}$ with $\sigma=(624351)$ for $\operatorname{Gr}(3,6)$. We want to construct a (sequence of) combinatorial mutations to $P_{\tau}$ where $\tau=(625341)$.

It is possible to construct $w_{1}, w_{2}$ and $F_{1}, F_{2}$ such that

$$
P_{\tau}=\varphi_{-w_{1}, F_{1}} \circ \varphi_{w_{2}, F_{2}} \circ \varphi_{w_{1}, F_{1}}\left(P_{\sigma}\right) .
$$

The polytope $Q=\varphi_{w_{2}, F_{2}} \circ \varphi_{w_{1}, F_{1}}\left(P_{\sigma}\right)$ is not a lattice polytope. It corresponds to the non-prime cone in $\operatorname{Gr}(3,6)$.

- Can we generalize this construction to other permutations containing forbidden patterns? Can we generalize it to higher Grassmannians?


## Computational results

We can generalize the matching fields $M_{\sigma}$ to $M_{\sigma}^{c}$ by multiplying the second row by $c$.

Considering the matching fields $M_{\sigma}^{c}$ we get:

- for $\operatorname{Gr}(3,6)$ all the 6 possible toric degenerations.
- for $\operatorname{Gr}(3,7), 40$ out of 69 possible toric degenerations.
- for $\mathcal{F} \ell_{4}$ all the 4 possible toric degenerations.
- for $\mathcal{F} \ell_{5}, 22$ out of 180 possible toric degenerations.
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## Open problems

- Do combinatorial mutation always move points from one cone to an adjacent one?
- Do we only move along facets of one maximal cone via combinatorial mutations?
- How can we describe the remaining toric degenerations?


## Thank you!

