

PARTITIONING AN INTEGER

ALEXANDER M. KASPRZYK

ABSTRACT. We present a generating function for the number of ways of partitioning a positive integer n into distinct positive integers $\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_r > 0$ such that $\lambda_1 \leq k$, for fixed k . A way of rapidly tabulating the number of such partitions for fixed m is then given. Finally, we write down a generating function for the number of partitions of n for fixed k and fixed r .

Definition 1. A *partition* of a positive integer n is a finite nonincreasing sequence of positive integers $\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r \rangle$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i = n$. The λ_i are called the *parts* of the partition.

Definition 2. Let \mathcal{P} denote the set of all partitions. Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{P}$ be the set of all partitions with distinct parts. Let $\mathcal{D}_k \subset \mathcal{D}$ be the set of all partitions with distinct parts such that $\lambda_1 \leq k$.

Definition 3. Let $p(S, n)$ denote the number of partitions of n that belong to a subset S of the set \mathcal{P} of all partitions.

Some immediate observations are that $p(\mathcal{D}_n, n) = p(\mathcal{D}_{n-1}, n) + 1$ and that

$$p(\mathcal{D}_m, n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m(m+1) < 2n \\ p(\mathcal{D}, n) & \text{if } m \geq n \end{cases}$$

Example 1. The distinct partitions of $n = 10$ are $\langle 4, 3, 2, 1 \rangle$, $\langle 5, 3, 2 \rangle$, $\langle 5, 4, 1 \rangle$, $\langle 6, 3, 1 \rangle$, $\langle 7, 2, 1 \rangle$, $\langle 6, 4 \rangle$, $\langle 7, 3 \rangle$, $\langle 8, 2 \rangle$, $\langle 9, 1 \rangle$ and $\langle 10 \rangle$. Hence $p(\mathcal{D}, 10) = 10$ and the $p(\mathcal{D}_i, 10)$ are given by

i	≤ 3	4	5	6	7	8	9	≥ 10
$p(\mathcal{D}_i, 10)$	0	1	3	5	7	8	9	10

The following interesting results concerning $p(\mathcal{D}, n)$ are well known (see (Hardy & Wright 1979) ppg.276-7).

Theorem 1. *The generating function of $p(\mathcal{D}, n)$ is given by*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + x^i)$$

Theorem 2. *Let \mathcal{O} be the set of all partitions whose parts are odd integers. Then $p(\mathcal{D}, n) = p(\mathcal{O}, n)$.*

Let $\mathcal{O}_k \subset \mathcal{O}$ be the set of all partitions with odd parts such that $\lambda_1 \leq k$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{2k} = \mathcal{O}_{2k+1}$ and hence $p(\mathcal{O}_{2k}, n) = p(\mathcal{O}_{2k+1}, n)$. Thus the obvious analogue of Theorem 2 is false.

We shall now prove a result, similar to Theorem 1, giving the generating function of $p(\mathcal{D}_k, n)$.

Theorem 3. *Given some fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the generating function of $p(\mathcal{D}_k, n)$ is given by*

$$\prod_{i=1}^k (1 + x^i)$$

Proof. This is essentially immediate since the product (and hence all the sums involved) is finite. We simply observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^k (1 + x^i) &= \sum_{a_1 \in \{0,1\}} \dots \sum_{a_k \in \{0,1\}} x^{\sum_{i=1}^k a_i i} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k p(\mathcal{D}_k, j) x^j \end{aligned}$$

□

A consequence of Theorem 3 is that it allows us to tabulate the values of $p(\mathcal{D}_k, n)$ by using induction on column vectors.

Let us fix k and let $\Delta_k = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + 1$.

Let $A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{\Delta_k \times 1}$ and let $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ 1 & 0 & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{\Delta_k}$.

Make the recursive definition

$$A_{i+1} = A_i + S^{i+1} A_i$$

Then the value of $p(\mathcal{D}_k, n)$ is given by the $(n+1)^{th}$ -entry in the column vector A_k (or is 0 if $n \geq \Delta_k$).

Theorem 4. *Using notation as above, $p(\mathcal{D}_k, n) = p(\mathcal{D}_k, \Delta_k - n - 1)$ for all n and $k \geq 1$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that A_k is symmetrical about the $\left\lfloor \frac{\Delta_k}{2} \right\rfloor^{th}$ -entry. We proceed by induction on k . The result is true for $k = 1$. Assume it is true for $k = m$. Then A_{m+1} is constructed by adding A_m to a copy of A_m whose entries have been shifted down $m+1$ places. Hence the resulting vector will be symmetrical about

$$\begin{aligned} \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta_m}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \right\rfloor &= \left\lfloor \frac{m(m+1)+2}{4} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \right\rfloor \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{(m+1)(m+2)+2}{4} \right\rfloor \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta_{m+1}}{2} \right\rfloor \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 1. $p(\mathcal{D}_k, n) = p(\mathcal{D}_{k-1}, n) + p(\mathcal{D}_{k-1}, n - k)$.

Proof. The result follows immediately from the observation that

$$\prod_{i=1}^k (1 + x^i) - \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 + x^i) = x^k \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 + x^i).$$

□

Example 2. Using these results it is possible to swiftly calculate (by hand) the values of $p(\mathcal{D}_k, n)$ for $k \leq 10$ and $n \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta_k}{2} \right\rfloor$

	k																																																				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10																																											
1	1	...																																																			
2		1	...																																																		
3			1	2	...																																																
4				1	2	...																																															
5					1	2	3	...																																													
6						1	2	3	4	...																																											
7							1	2	3	4	5	...																																									
8								1	2	3	4	5	6	...																																							
9									1	2	3	4	5	6	7	...																																					
10										1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	...																																			
11											1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11																																
12												1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13																													
13													1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15																										
14														1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17																							
15															1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20																			
16																1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22																
17																	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23														
18																		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24												
19																			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25										
20																				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26								
21																					1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27						
22																						1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27					
23																							1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27				
24																								1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27			
25																									1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27		
26																										1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	
27																											1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27

The C source code for a computer program which uses the above technique to calculate the values of $p(\mathcal{D}_k, n)$ for all n and arbitrary k is available from

<http://www.maths.bath.ac.uk/~mapamk/code/PartGenFun.c>

Definition 4. Let $\mathcal{D}_{k,m} \subset \mathcal{D}_k$ be the set of all partitions with distinct parts such that $\lambda_1 \leq k$ and $r = m$ (with notation as in Definition 1).

Definition 5. Let $S_{k,m}$ be the set

$$S_{k,m} := \{(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \{1, \dots, k\}^m \mid a_1 < \dots < a_m\}.$$

For $\sigma = (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in S_{k,m}$ we regard it as a map $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ via

$$\sigma i = \begin{cases} a_i & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq m \\ i & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Clearly $|S_{k,m}| = \binom{k}{m}$.

Theorem 5. Given some fixed $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \leq k$, the generating function of

$$p(\mathcal{D}_{k,m}, n) - p(\mathcal{D}_{k-1,m}, n)$$

is given by

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_{k-1,m-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (x^k + x^{\sigma i}).$$

It is an immediate consequence that that generating function for $p(\mathcal{D}_{k,m}, n)$ is given by

$$\sum_{j=m}^k \sum_{\sigma \in S_{j-1,m-1}} \prod_{i=1}^m (x^j + x^{\sigma i}).$$

REFERENCES

Hardy, G. H. & Wright, E. M. (1979), *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Oxford Science Publications, fifth edn, Oxford University Press.