UNDERSTANDING THE FLOP-FLOP AUTOEQUIVALENCE USING SPHERICAL FUNCTORS



<u>CONSECTURE:</u> In the situation above, we have $D^{\flat}(X_{-}) \simeq D^{\flat}(X_{+})$. (BONDAL-ORLOV)

EXAMPLE: ATIYAH FLOP $Tot(O(-1, -1)_{P^{4}}, P^{4}) \stackrel{q}{=} Tot(O(-1)_{P^{4}}) \stackrel{q}{=} X_{+}$ $X_{-} = Tot(O(-1)_{P^{4}}) \quad Tot(O(-1)_{P^{4}}) = X_{+}$ Y = Spec(C[a, b, c, d]/(ad-bc)) $\implies D^{b}(X_{-}) \simeq D^{b}(X_{+}) \quad via pull-push p_{+}q^{+} or q_{+}p^{+}$ Bondal The conjecture doesn't provide us with a functor. Natural candidate: pull-push via the fibre product.



Remark: At the moment, I'm ignoring problems that might arise because of the singularities (e.g. Does pullback preserve boundedness?)

When do these functors work?

✓ Standard (Atiyah) flops, Mukai flops, CY 3-folds, Abuaf flop,...

Let's say they do work. Them, we have a NON TRIVIAL autoequivalence:

FLOP-FLOP AUTOEQUIVALENCE

$$FF := 9 + p^{+}p_{+}q^{+} \in Aut(D(X_{+}))$$

5

AUTOEQUIVALENCES OF
$$D^{b}(X)$$
:
Inside Aut($D^{b}(X)$) we always find:
- [1] shift ($\cdots \rightarrow E^{i} \rightarrow E^{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E^{i} \rightarrow E^{-i+1} \rightarrow \cdots$)
- f., where fe Aut(X)
- $\otimes \mathscr{L}$, where $\mathcal{L} \in Pic(X)$

They generate a subgroup : Z × (Aut(X) × Pic(X)) ⊆ Aut(D(X)) <u>Thm</u>: (Bondal-Orbor) Equality holds if X smooth projective & wx is (anti)ample. <u>CLAIM</u>: When FF is not the identity, we have: FF & Z × (Aut(X) × Pic(X))



What is FF? Here is where spherical functors come in the game.

Idea: (What's a spherical functor) A spherical functor $F: C \longrightarrow D$ is a functor that satisfies certain properties, and out of which we can construct an autoequivalence $T_F \in Aut(D)$ called the STHERICAL TWIST AROUND F.

-XAMPLES:

SPHERICAL TWISTS AROUND SPHERICAL OBJECTS (SEIDEL - THOMAS): X smooth projective variety, $E \in D^{b}(X)$ is said to be spherical if (i) $E \simeq E \otimes \omega_{X}$ (ii) $Hom_{D(X)}(E, E[i]) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & i = 0, dim X \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$ $\Rightarrow T_{E}(F) = Gome (RHom_{X}(E, F) \otimes E \xrightarrow{e_{Y}} F)$ $\cdot T_{E}(E) \simeq E[1 - d]$ d = dim X $\cdot T_{E}(F) \simeq F$ $F \in E^{\perp} = \{G \in D^{b}(X) : RHom_{X}(E,G) = 0\}$ $\Rightarrow T_{E} \notin \mathbb{Z}_{i} \times (Aut(X) \ltimes Pic(X))$ d > 1 $E^{\perp} \neq \{0\}$

ANY AUTOEQUIVALENCE OF A (NICE) TRIANGULATED CATEGORY: E. Segal proved in 2016 that any autoequivalence of a (nice) triangulated category can be realized as a spherical twist around a spherical functor.

TENSOR PRODUCT WITH LINE BUNDLE: $\mathcal{X} \in \operatorname{Pic}(X), \text{ seek}: \quad F: \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow D^{b}(X) \text{ s.t. } T_{F} = \otimes \mathcal{X}$ $Y = \operatorname{Tot}(\mathcal{X}') \implies D^{b}(Y) \xrightarrow{i^{*}} D^{b}(X) \text{ is spherical and}$ $T_{i^{*}} \cong \otimes \mathcal{X}[2]$ (To delete the shift one should consider the sheaf of graded

algebras Ox & Z'[-1]).

What's the use? For us the importance of presenting on outsequivalence as the spherical twist around a spherical functor is embodied by the imformation that $F: C \longrightarrow D$ can give us about T_F , e.g.

> Does T= split as the composition of simpler outoequivalences?

SEMI ORTHOGONAL DECOMPOSITION (SOD):

ANALOGY: SEMIDIRECT PRODUCTS OF GROUPS

Given two groups G, H and a morphism of groups \$\$: G - Aut(H), we can define a new group H*+ G called their semidirect product. This construction exhibits the group H*++ G as built from H and G, but where the choice of which goes first is important.

The idea behind SODs is similar: we want to chop up D^b(X) into smaller pieces which are (semi) sithogonal. Semiorthogonality is defined in terms of:

 $Hom_{D^{L}(X)}(-,-):=\bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}Hom_{D^{L}(X)}(-,-[m])$

and we say that $D^b(X) = \langle A_1, -, A_r \rangle$ is a SOD if: (i) there are morphisms from A: to A; iff isj (ii) $D^b(X)$ is generated (in a suitable sense) by $A_{1,-}, A_r$.

EXAMPLES :

PROJECTIVE SPACES (BEILINSON)

We have a SOD : $D^{b}(P^{m}) = \langle G(-m), G(-m+1), -, G(-1), G \rangle$

EXCEPTIONAL COLLECTION :

When we have a sequence of objects

$$E_{1,-}, E_n$$
 s.t. $D^b(X) = \langle E_{1,-}, E_n \rangle$ and
 $\operatorname{Hom} D^i(X) (E_i, E_i) = \mathbb{C}$ we call $E_{1,-}, E_n a$
full exceptional collection. Notice that in this case
there exist $F_{1,-}, F_n$ s.t. $\operatorname{Hom} D^i(X) (F_i, E_i) = \mathbb{C} d_{ij}$
The F_i 's are called the left dual exceptional collection

BLOW UPS:

$$Y \subseteq X$$
 smooth var., then: $D^{b}(Bly X) = \langle D^{b}(Y), D^{b}(Y), -, D^{b}(Y), D^{b}(X) \rangle$

$$\begin{array}{l} \circ \in \mathbb{A}^{2} \Rightarrow \mathbb{BP}_{o} \mathbb{A}^{2} = \operatorname{Tet} (\mathbb{G}(-1)_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \mathbb{A}^{2} \\ \mathbb{D}^{b} (\operatorname{Tet} (\mathbb{G}(-1)_{\mathbb{P}^{2}})) = \langle \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}} (-1), p^{*} \mathbb{D}^{b} (\mathbb{A}^{2}) \rangle \\ \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{D}^{b} (\mathbb{B}_{o} \mathbb{A}^{2})} (\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}} (-1), \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}} (-1)) \simeq \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow \langle \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}} (-1) \rangle = \mathbb{D}^{b} (\mathbb{P}^{1}) = \mathbb{D}^{b} (\mathbb{C}). \end{array}$$

They go together:

Thm: $F: C \rightarrow D$ a spherical functor, $C = \langle A, B \rangle +$ technical (HALPER-LEISTNER Then: $T_F = T_{FI_A} \circ T_{FI_B}$

 $\begin{array}{c} \hline TRm : F_{1}: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, F_{2}: \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad \text{spherical functors. Then, there exists} \\ \hline (B.) \\ \widetilde{F}: \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad \text{spherical functor } st. (i) \mathcal{D} = \langle \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A} \rangle \quad (ii) T_{\widetilde{F}} = T_{F_{2}} \circ T_{F_{2}} \end{array}$



<u>REMARKS:</u>

- (1). Generally, we are interested in $D^{b}(X)$ rather than $D_{qc}(X)$. Oming back from the above statement to one about $FF \in Aut(D(X_{+}))$ is not straightforward, but can be done. In particular, the case when X_{+} is smooth can be dealt with quite explicitly.
- (2): The idea of studying the quotient category $Dqe(\hat{X})/K$ was first pursued by Bodxenta and Bondal in the case where f_{\pm} have fibres of dimension at most one. They prove: $\underline{Thm}: D^{b}(A_{f_{\pm}}) \xrightarrow{i} D^{i}(X_{\pm})$ is spherical, and $T_{i}^{-1} \cong FF$ (BODZENTA, BONDAL) $A_{f_{\pm}} = fE \in Ch(X_{\pm}): (f_{\pm})_{\pm} E = 0$ } The relation to the theorem above is that: $E \cong D(A_{F}) \xrightarrow{c} D^{b}(\hat{X})/K^{b} \cong D^{b}(A_{f_{\pm}})$ endomorphism Lightra of projective generator P of $A_{f_{\pm}}$
- (3): When dim $X_{\pm} = 3$, a result by Donovan-We myss says that $FF \simeq T_{\overline{\Phi}}^{-1}$, where $\overline{\Phi} : D^{1}(A_{con}) \longrightarrow D^{1}(X_{+})$, $A_{con} = a_{LaBBRA}^{CONTRACTION}$ Bodzenta-Bondal prove that in this case $A_{p} \simeq A_{con}$ $\Rightarrow C \simeq D(A_{con})$.
- (4): The theorem works for any correspondence
 X_ ← Z → X_+ inducing derived equivalences and satisfying P* 0Z = 0×-, 9* 0Z = 0×+.

EXAMPLES:

STANDARD FLOPS :

$$X_{\pm} = \operatorname{Tet}(G(\pm)_{P^{n}}^{\oplus n+\pm}), \quad \hat{X} = \operatorname{Bl}_{P^{n}}(X_{-}) = \operatorname{Tet}(G(\pm,\pm)_{P^{n}\times P^{n}})$$
Thm: (ADDINGTON-DONOVAN- MEACHAN)
Open is a spherical object, and FF = $\operatorname{To}_{P^{n}(\pm)}^{-\pm} \circ \operatorname{To}_{P^{n}(\pm)}^{-\pm} \circ \operatorname{To}_{P^{n}(\pm)}^{-\pm}$
Given this theorem and the one before, we expect to find
a SOD $C = \langle D(C), ..., D(C) \rangle$, and that $C \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}^{n}} \operatorname{Dqc}(K_{+})$
is described by: $C_{i} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}^{n}} O_{P^{n}}(-i)$ (C_{i} is the generator
of the *i*-th (opy of $D(C)$ counting right to left).
This: In $\operatorname{Dqc}(\hat{X})/K$ we have anorphisms from $O_{P^{n},P^{n}}(o,-i)$ to $O_{P^{n},P^{n}}(o,-j)$
iff $i \geqslant j$ (free $1 \le i, j \le m$), and for $i = j$ we have only the identity.
Thus, C can be thought of as a DG enhancement of the
category of modules over the graded algebra (with relations)

MUKAI FLOPS : $X_{\pm} = \operatorname{Tet}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}^{4}), \quad \hat{X} = \mathbb{P}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}^{n} \sqcup_{\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}^{4})} \operatorname{Bl}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}} X_{-}$ Thm: (ADDINGTON - DONOVAN - MEACHAN) $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}$ is a \mathbb{P}^{n}_{-} -object, and $\mathsf{FF} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{-1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(-1)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbb{P}^{-1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(-n)}$. F (i) E = E & Wx (i) $E = E \otimes \omega_x$ (ii) $Hom_{D(x)}^{i}(E,E) \simeq \mathbb{C}[t]_{t^{m+2}}$ It I = 2 It I = 2رل E. Segal gave a construction of the P-twist around a P-object as the spherical twist around either of the spherical functors: $D^{\flat}(\mathbb{C}[q]) \xrightarrow{\otimes E} D^{\flat}(\times) \qquad D^{\flat}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{E}]/\mathbb{E}^{2}) \xrightarrow{\otimes [\mathbb{E}[-2] \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}]} D^{\flat}(\times)$ $deg. q = 2 \qquad kossel duality \qquad deg. \mathbb{E} = -1$ Similarly to what happened for standard flops, we expect either $C = \langle D(\mathbb{C}[q]), -, D(\mathbb{C}[q]) \rangle$, or $C = \langle D(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{E}]/\mathbb{E}^2), -, D(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{E}]/\mathbb{E}^2) \rangle$. <u>Thm</u>: We have a SOD $C = \langle D(C[E7/\epsilon^2], -, D(C[E7/\epsilon^2]) \rangle$ where the generator **(B.)** is given by the projection to C of the pull up to X+ and then to \hat{X} of $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{m} N^{j}(\mathcal{D}_{p^{m}}(-1))[-j]$. Moreover there is a subcategory $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathbb{C}$ s.t. $\mathcal{Z} = \langle \operatorname{Perf}(\mathbb{C}[q]), -, \operatorname{Perf}(\mathbb{C}[q]) \rangle$ and whose generator is given by $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^{m_{x}}\mathbb{R}^{m}}(o,-j)$. Te can be thought of as a TZ can be thought of as at DG enhancement of category DG enhancement of cottegory of modules over of modules over

OTHER EXAMPLES :

The theorem has only a few hypotheses, hence it can be applied in

many cases, e.g. Grassmannian flops, Abuaf flop to name some.

Each of these two examples present interesting features:

- Grassmannian flops are a generalisation of standard flops, but the fact that the GiT problem in this case has more than one stratum changes everything.
- The Abuaf flop is an example in which the flop-flop outoequivalence is the composition of inverses of spherical twists around spherical objects which are not independent in the K-theory group.

Thank you!