#### Universes as Bigdata,

from String Theory to Modern Geometry to Machine-Learning

#### YANG-HUI HE

Dept of Mathematics, City, University of London Merton College, University of Oxford School of Physics, NanKai University

International Congress of Mathematical Software 2020 Braunschweig July, 2020

(日)

## The Geometrization Programme

- Algebraic/differential geometry/topology : the right language for physics
  - $\bullet\,$  Gravity  $\sim$  Ricci 2-form of the Tangent bundles;
  - Elementary Particles  $\sim$  irreducible representations of the Lorentz group and sections of bundles with Lie structure group;
  - $\bullet\,$  Interactions  $\sim\,$  Tensor products of sections  $\ldots$
  - String theory: brain-child of gauge-gravity geometrization tradition
- A new exciting era for synergy with (pure & computational) geometry, group theory, combinatorics, number theory: *Sage*, *M2*, *GAP*, *LMFDB*, *GrDB* are becoming indispensible tools for physicists
- Interdisciplinary enterprise: cross-fertilisation of particle/string theory, phenomenology, pure mathematics, computer algorithms, data-bases, ...

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### $10 = 4 + 3 \times 2$



## Triadophilia: 1984/5

- String Phenomenology [Candelas-Horowitz-Strominger-Witten]: 1985
  - Heterotic string [Gross-Harvey-Martinec-Rohm]:  $E_8 \times E_8$  or SO(32), 1984 6
  - E<sub>8</sub> accommodates Standard Model of particle physics

 $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1) \subset SU(5) \subset SO(10) \subset E_6 \subset E_8$ 

- 6 extra dimensions is some 6-dimensional manifold  $\boldsymbol{X}$ 
  - Inot just a real 6-manifold but a complex 3-fold X
  - 2 X is furthermore Kähler  $(g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \partial_{\alpha}\bar{\partial}_{\bar{\beta}}K)$
  - 3 X is Ricci flat (vacuum Einstein equations)
  - Rmk: there are other classes of solutions (more later...) but 1,2,3 simplest
- What are such manifolds? Just so happens that Yau and Strominger were neighbours at IAS in 1985

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

## Calabi-Yau

• Generalize the trichotomy for complex dim 1 (Riemann surfaces):

$g(\Sigma) = 0$	$g(\Sigma) = 1$	$g(\Sigma) > 1$
$\chi(\Sigma)=2$	$\chi(\Sigma) = 0$	$\chi(\Sigma) < 0$
Spherical, + curvature	Ricci-Flat, 0 curvature	Hyperbolic, – curvature

- HARD for dim $_{\mathbb{C}} > 1$ , luckily, for our class of Kähler complex manifolds:
- CONJECTURE [E. Calabi, 1954, 1957]: M compact Kähler  $(g, \omega)$  and  $([R] = [c_1(M)])_{H^{1,1}(M)} \cdot \exists ! (\tilde{g}, \tilde{\omega}) \text{ s.t. } ([\omega] = [\tilde{\omega}])_{H^2(M;\mathbb{R})} \& Ricci(\tilde{\omega}) = R.$

Rmk:  $c_1(M) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{Ricci-flat (rmk: Ricci-flat familiar in GR long before strings)}$ 

- THEOREM [S-T Yau, 1977-8; Fields 1982] Existence Proof
- Calabi-Yau: Kähler and Ricci-flat (term coined by physicists)

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

## Calabi-Yau

• Generalize the trichotomy for complex dim 1 (Riemann surfaces):

$g(\Sigma) = 0$	$g(\Sigma) = 1$	$g(\Sigma) > 1$
$\chi(\Sigma)=2$	$\chi(\Sigma) = 0$	$\chi(\Sigma) < 0$
Spherical, + curvature	Ricci-Flat, 0 curvature	Hyperbolic, – curvature

- HARD for dim $_{\mathbb{C}} > 1$ , luckily, for our class of Kähler complex manifolds:
- CONJECTURE [E. Calabi, 1954, 1957]: M compact Kähler  $(g, \omega)$  and  $([R] = [c_1(M)])_{H^{1,1}(M)} \cdot \exists ! (\tilde{g}, \tilde{\omega}) \text{ s.t. } ([\omega] = [\tilde{\omega}])_{H^2(M;\mathbb{R})} \& Ricci(\tilde{\omega}) = R.$

Rmk:  $c_1(M) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{Ricci-flat} (\text{rmk: Ricci-flat familiar in GR long before strings})$ 

- THEOREM [S-T Yau, 1977-8; Fields 1982] Existence Proof
- Calabi-Yau: Kähler and Ricci-flat (term coined by physicists)

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

## Calabi-Yau

• Generalize the trichotomy for complex dim 1 (Riemann surfaces):

$g(\Sigma) = 0$	$g(\Sigma) = 1$	$g(\Sigma) > 1$
$\chi(\Sigma)=2$	$\chi(\Sigma) = 0$	$\chi(\Sigma) < 0$
Spherical, + curvature	Ricci-Flat, 0 curvature	Hyperbolic, – curvature

- HARD for dim $_{\mathbb{C}} > 1$ , luckily, for our class of Kähler complex manifolds:
- CONJECTURE [E. Calabi, 1954, 1957]: M compact Kähler  $(g, \omega)$  and  $([R] = [c_1(M)])_{H^{1,1}(M)} \cdot \exists ! (\tilde{g}, \tilde{\omega}) \text{ s.t. } ([\omega] = [\tilde{\omega}])_{H^2(M;\mathbb{R})} \& Ricci(\tilde{\omega}) = R.$

Rmk:  $c_1(M) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{Ricci-flat} (\text{rmk: Ricci-flat familiar in GR long before strings})$ 

- THEOREM [S-T Yau, 1977-8; Fields 1982] Existence Proof
- Calabi-Yau: Kähler and Ricci-flat (term coined by physicists)

• • = • • =

## Calabi-Yau Manifolds as Algebraic Varieties

- THM: Homog deg n + 1 in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , is Calabi-Yau dim<sub> $\mathbb{C}$ </sub> = n 1 (adjunction)
- dim<sub>C</sub> = 1: T<sup>2</sup> as cubic (elliptic curve) in ℙ<sup>2</sup>;
  dim<sub>C</sub> = 2: K3 surface as quartic in ℙ<sup>3</sup>
- CY3, immediately get 5 (cyclics): Degree 5 in  $\mathbb{P}^4$  (The Quintic Q), [3,3] in  $\mathbb{P}^5$ , [2,4] in  $\mathbb{P}^5$ , [2,2,3] in  $\mathbb{P}^6$ , [2,2,2,2] in  $\mathbb{P}^7$
- First physics challenge to algebraic geometry:
  - Particle Spectrum: Generation :  $n_{27} = h^1(X, TX) = h^{2,1}_{\overline{\partial}}(X)$ ; Anti-Generation :  $n_{\overline{27}} = h^1(X, TX^*) = h^{1,1}_{\overline{\partial}}(X)$
  - # generations of particles =  $\chi = 2(h^{1,1} h^{2,1})$ ; 1986 Question: Are there Calabi-Yau threefolds with Euler number ±6? (None of our 5 obvious ones )

イロト イヨト イヨト

## The First Data-sets in Mathematical Physics/Geometry

- [Candelas-A. He-Hübsch-Lutken-Schimmrigk-Berglund] (1986-1990)
  - CICYs (complete intersection CYs) multi-deg polys in products of  $\mathbb{CP}^{n_i}$
  - Problem: *classify all configuration matrices*; employed the best computers at the time (**CERN supercomputer**); q.v. magnetic tape and dot-matrix printout in Philip's office
  - 7890 matrices, 266 Hodge pairs  $(h^{1,1}, h^{2,1})$ , 70 Euler  $\chi \in [-200, 0]$
- [Candelas-Lynker-Schimmrigk, 1990]
  - Hypersurfaces in Weighted P4
  - 7555 inequivalent 5-vectors  $w_i$ , 2780 Hodge pairs,  $\chi \in [-960, 960]$
- [Kreuzer-Skarke, mid-1990s 2000]
  - Hypersurfaces in (Reflexive, Gorenstein Fano) Toric 4-folds
  - 6-month running time on dual Pentium SGI machine
  - at least 473,800,776, with 30,108 distinct Hodge pairs,  $\chi \in [-960,960]$

Exact Standard Model Particle Content from String Compactification

- [Braun-YHH-Ovrut-Pantev, Bouchard-Cvetic-Donagi 2005] first exact MSSM
- [Anderson-Gray-YHH-Lukas, 2007-] use alg./comp. algebraic geo & sift
- Anderson-Gray-Lukas-Ovrut-Palti  $\sim 200$  in  $10^{10}$  MSSM Stable Sum of Line Bundles

over CICYs (Oxford-Penn-Virginia 2012-)



A Special Corner?

[New Scientist, 5/1/2008 feature]

Candelas-de la Ossa-YHH-Szendroi

"Triadophilia: A Special Corner of the Land-

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三)

scape" ATMP, 2008

The Good Last 10-15 years: several international groups have bitten the bullet Oxford, London, Vienna, Blacksburg, Boston, Johannesburg, Munich, ..., computed many geometrical/physical quantities and compiled them into various databases Landscape Data ( $10^{9\sim10}$  entries typically) (integration of the second The Bad Generic computation HARD: dual cone algorithm (exponential), triangulation (exponential), Gröbner basis (double-exponential) ...e.g., how to construct stable bundles over the  $\gg 473$  million KS CY3? Sifting through for SM computationally impossible ....

#### The ??? Borrow new techniques from "Big Data" revolution

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

## A Wild Question

• Typical Problem in String Theory/Algebraic Geometry:



- Q: Can problems in computational geometry and theoretical physics be "learned" by AI ? implications:
  - can we "machine-learn the landscape?"
  - can we do mathematics with ML?
- [YHH 1706.02714] Deep-Learning the Landscape, Phys Lett B 774, 2017

Science feature article, Aug, vol 365 issue 6452 :

Experimentally, it seems to be the case for many situations in geometry and

beyond. (cf. YHH CY Landscape: from Geometry, to Physics, to ML

1812.02893, Springer Textbook, to appear)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

## NN Doesn't Care/Know about Algebraic Geometry

Hodge Number of a Complete Intersection CY is the association rule, e.g.

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad h^{1,1}(X) = 8 \quad \rightsquigarrow$$

$$\rightarrow 8$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

CICY is  $12 \times 15$  integer matrix with entries  $\in [0,5]$  is simply represented as a  $12 \times 15$  pixel image of 6 colours (proper way: sequence chasing) Proper Way

- Cross-Validation:  $\begin{cases} \text{ Take samples of } X \to h^{1,1} \\ \text{ train a NN, or SVM} \\ \text{ Validation on } unseen \ X \to h^{1,1} \end{cases}$

# Experiments ((precision, confidence) @ 80-20 cross-val)

- YHH (1706.02714) Bull-YHH-Jejjala-Mishra (1806.03121, 1903.03113): Hodge numbers of CICYs, (0.85, 0.9);
- YHH-SJ Lee (1904.08530): Distinguish Elliptic Fibrations (0.99, 0.99);
- Ashmore-YHH-Ovrut (1910.08605): ML Donaldson algorithm for (balanced) CY metric  $\sim 10^2$  speedup;
- YHH-MY Kim (1905.02263): Use GAP database, recognizing simple groups: (0.96, 0.95) distinguishing Cayley tables from Latin squares (0.9, 0.9)
- Bao-Franco-YHH-Hirst-Musiker-Xiao (2006.10783): Recognize cluster mutations  $(0.9, 0, 8) \rightarrow (1.0, 1.0)$
- YHH-ST Yau (2006.16619): Finite graphs, recognizing acyclic, Ricci-flat, planarity, etc:  $(0.8, 0.7) \rightarrow (0.95, 0.91)$  Reprodutes

## Summary and Outlook

PHYSICS • The string landscape now solidly resides in the age of Big Data

- Use Machine-Learning as Classifier & Predictor
- MATHS somewhat bypassing the expensive steps of long sequence-chasing, Gröbner bases, dual cones/combinatorics and getting the right answer @ high rate (only probabilistically doing NP-Hard); 100% ~> new conjectures/formulae/algorithms;
  - Hierarchy of Difficulty ML struggles with:

numerical < algebraic geometry over  $\mathbb{C} <$  combinatorics/algebra < number theory

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

- CICYs: resurrected Anderson-Gray-YHH-Lukas, http://www-thphys. physics.ox.ac.uk/projects/CalabiYau/cicylist/index.html
- Kreuzer-Skarke: http://hep.itp.tuwien.ac.at/~kreuzer/CY/
  - new PALP: Braun-Walliser: ArXiv 1106.4529
  - Triangulations: Altmann-YHH-Jejjala-Nelson:
    - http://www.rossealtman.com/
- cf. Graded Rings: Brown, Kasprzyk, et al. http://www.grdb.co.uk/

Return

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < Ξ > < Ξ

## Computing Hodge Numbers: Sketch

• Recall Hodge decomposition  $H^{p,q}(X) \simeq H^q(X, \wedge^p T^\star X) \leadsto$ 

 $H^{1,1}(X) = H^1(X, T_X^*), \qquad H^{2,1}(X) \simeq H^{1,2} = H^2(X, T_X^*) \simeq H^1(X, T_X)$ 

• Euler Sequence for subvariety  $X \subset A$  is short exact:

$$0 \to T_X \to T_M|_X \to N_X \to 0$$

Induces long exact sequence in cohomology:

• Need to compute Rk(d), cohomology and  $H^i(X, T_A|_X)$  (Cf. Hübsch)

YANG-HUI HE (London/Tianjin/Oxford)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Expect anything involving properties of primes to do badly:

- YHH (1706.02714): predicting primes (0.5, 0.0) (completely random) ...
- Alessandretti-Baronchelli-YHH (1911.02008) BSD using LMFdb: rank from Weierstrass coef, random; correlation @ BSD quantities, marginally better at least for standard classifers (boosted trees, SVMs, ...) and simple NN which does algebraic geometry so well:

